## Montana 21st Century Community Learning Centers AT-RISK ASSESSMENT FOR PROGRAM COMPLIANCE MONITORING

	Grantee:	Date:		OPI Reviewer:		
	1) Has the grantee been found to be non-compliant with agency's Fiscal Risk Assessment?	YES? Score this grantee as HIGH risk	NO? Complete step 2.			
	2) Complete all items below.	Category				
	At Risk Indicator	Low (0)	Moderate (1)	High (2)	WEIGHT	Score (category* weight)
	Students Served: The grantee has identified and is serving eligible students and their families consistent with the original grant application. (Compliance A1) (Only include students attending 30+ days in calculation)	Met students served goals (per grant application) by margin of 90% or above	Met students served goals (per grant application) by margin of 80%-89%	Were under enrolled by 79% or less.	1	
	APR: The grantee complies with all OPI data collection and reporting requirements including submittal of all data reports. (Assurance 7)	Data for all 3 reporting periods provided prior to deadlines.	Late on one reporting period.	Late on 2-3 reporting periods.	2	
	Outcome Data (GPRA): The grantee participates in the state's data collection and evaluation in a timely and complete manner (Compliance A16)	For teacher surveys, there was a 70% + response rate.	50-69% response rate.	Response rate of less than 50%	2	
	Monitoring & Compliance: Consideration should be given to the results of previous audits (EDGAR)	No corrective action plans have been needed based on monitoring	A finding resulting in a corrective action plan was identified within the prior two years.	Two or more findings resulting in corrective action plans were identified within the prior two years.	2	
Managem ent	Communication: The grantee should work in active collaboration with schools and any other partnership entities as well as OPI. (Compliance A15)	Grantee is responsive	1 complaint has been logged by internal or external partners and/or the grantee has been inconsistently responsive.	2 or more complaints have been logged by internal or external partners and/or the grantee has been unsatisfactorily responsive.		
	Consortia: Consideration should be given whether the grantee has new or substantially changed systems (EDGAR)	Not a consortium	(no moderate category)	Consortia	1	

## Category 2) Complete all items below (continued). Score At Risk Indicator WEIGHT (category\* Low (0) Moderate (1) High (2) weight) 30-39 hours provided Hours: The grantee is providing a minimum of 40 hours per month 40+ hours are provided Less than 30 hours 1 monthly on average in during non-school hours. (Compliance A3.1) provided which programming occurs Operations Organizational Changes: Consideration should be given whether the No change in key personnel (no moderate category) There is a new 1 Superintendent, 21st CCLC grantee has new personnel (EDGAR) Program Director, or 21st CCLC Fiscal Agent Professional Development: The grantee will participate in two required Attended all required Missed 2+ required 1 Missed one training. trainings. trainings. regional meetings per year and one state conference. (Assurance 9) **Drawdowns:** The grantee expends 21st CCLC funds appropriately. 6+ drawdowns performed 3-5 draw downs 1-2 draw downs 2 (Compliance A10) Management **Budget Requests:** The grantee expends 21st CCLC funds appropriately. No requests for expenses 1-2 requests for expenses not Over 2 requests for 2 (Compliance A10) not in budget in budget expenses not in budget Has used all funding **Expenditures:** The grantee expends 21st CCLC funds appropriately. (no moderate category) Has returned federal funds 2 appropriately to the state within the prior (Compliance A10) Fiscal two grant years **Budget for all 3 reporting** Late on 2-3 reporting Fiscal Reporting: The grantee reports on 21st CCLC funds. (Assurances) Late on one reporting period. 2 periods provided prior to periods. deadlines. **TOTAL**

## 3) Select the Risk Category for the grantee based on their total score. (Range is 0-40)

- [] High Risk (32-40) requires Compliance & Quality Monitoring and Tier 3 supports
- [] Moderate Risk (24-31) requires Targeted Monitoring and Tier 2 supports
- [] Low Risk (0-23) would benefit from Tier 1 supports